

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/898,687	07/	03/2001	Henry J. Pepin	1001.1458101	1767
28075	7590	07/30/2004		EXAMINER	
CROMPTO	N, SEAGE	ER & TUFTE, LL	BUI, VY Q		
1221 NICOL SUITE 800	LET AVEN	IUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420				3731	

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ON					
Advisory Action	09/898,687	PEPIN, HENRY J.	-					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Vy Q. Bui	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:			•					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-18 and 22-24</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·_						
10 M Other: See Continuation Sheet								
		67/28/2004						
		Vy Q. Bui						
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: STEEN et al-6,213,995(col. 5, lines 14-18) explicitly disclose a list of preferable metals for wires 44 including highly conductive metal such as silver (relative electrical conductivity= 106, see attached "Electrical Conductivity of Metals" table) to lower conductive metal such as platinum (relative electrical conductivity= 15, see the attached table). From STEEN-'995 teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordianry skill in the art to realize that any metal such as tungsten (relative electrical conductivity= 28.9) or gold (relative electrical conductivity= 65), each has a relative electrical conductivity in a range of 15 (same as that of platinum) to 108 (same as that of silter) can be a preferable metal for the STEEN catheter. Further, as listed in the reference cited form PTO-892, INAGAKI et al. -5,630,806 (abstract) disclose a radiopaque tube for use as a medical catheter is provided. The tube includes an inner wall layer made from a polymer resin defining the lumen. A spiral wound reinforcement layer of a radiopaque material is wound about the inner wall layer.

Continuation of 10. Other: attached are "Electrical Conductivity of Metals" table and Inagaki et al-5,630,806 listed in PTO-form 892.